By direction of the Commission. Carol M. Thomas, Secretary. [FR Duc. 80-32458 Filed 10-16-80. 8 45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

#### **GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

## **GSA Bulletin FPR 48 Federal** Procurement; Energy Conservation

October 8, 1980.

To: Heads of Federal agencies. Subject: Federal procurement policy concerning energy conservation

- 1. Purpose. This bulletin reemphasizes the importance of considering energy conservation in acquisition decisions.
- 2. Expiration date. This bulletin expires October 8, 1981 unless earlier revised or superseded.

3. Background.

- a. The Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Policy Letter 78-1, in implementing the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 636(a)(1)), required Executive agencies to promote energy conservation and efficiency through procurement policies and decisions. Accordingly, regulations making the requirement a mandatory standard with respect to procurements and underlying procurement and property management documents have been set forth in § 1-1.339-1 of the Federal Procurement Regulations and in Part 101-25 of the Federal Property Management Regulations.
- b. The OFPP has now issued Supplement No. 1 to OFPP Policy Letter 76-1 (45 FR 47772, July 16, 1980), which reemphasizes the need to conform to both the spirit and intent of those regulations. In a September 2, 1980. memorandum, OFPP encourages Agency and Industry Contact Points procuring offices to work cooperatively to give meaningful consideration to the conservation of energy.
- 4. Agency action. Energy conservation as it relates to acquisition decisions is expected to be made a matter of management emphasis throughout the Executive branch.

### Gerald McBride.

Assistant Administrator for Acquisition Policy.

Executive Office of the President Office of Management and Budget, Office of Federal Procurement Policy September 2, 1900.

Memorandum for: OFPP Agency and Industry Contact Points

From: Karen Hastie Williams, Administrator Subject: Federal Contractor's Energy Conservation Programs

Under the auspices of the Interagency Federal Energy Policy Committee (sometimes referred to as the "656" Committee), this Office and the DOE's Office of Conservation and Solar Energy recently reviewed the measures taken by several Federal contractors and Government procurement activities to promote energy conservation at facilities employed in the performance of Federal contracts. The purpose of this survey was to determine if inclusion of an energy conservation clause in Federal contracts was

We have concluded that, on balance, Federal contractors are conscientiously pursuing energy conservation measures and that an energy conservation clause, per se. is not warranted as an additional stimulus. Infact, there is a general feeling that the efforts associated with administering an energy conservation clause could detract from positive measures being devoted to conservation efforts. Therefore, we have decided not to promulgate such a clause.

However, the survey did disclose that most of us still waste energy needlessly. A prime example is the use of lighting on bright days and when rooms are unoccupsed. Also, industry associations could be helpful in promoting energy conservation by exchanging energy conservation ideas through such media as their newsletters to member firms.

Another area worth considering is to emphasize and discuss energy conservation during source selection for major programs Such discussions could promote a common understanding of obstructions to energy conservation incasures and cooperative means for overcoming such obstructions within the scope of the program.

Working cooperatively, I am certain that Federal contractors and procuring offices alike will continue to give meaningful. consideration to the conservation of energy. Energy conservation makes good economic sense for both sides.

IFR No. 80-323.4 Filed 10-18 (0, 7 45 am)

BILLING CODE 6829-61-M

## Qualifications Reviews Panel for the Position of Director, Gerald R. Ford Library Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Qualifications Review Panel for the position of Director, Gerald R. Ford Library, will meet on October 23 and November 21 from 10 a.m. to adjournment in Room 105, National Archives and Records Service, 8th and Peansylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20408.

The Panel will:

- 1. Develop selection criteria for the position of Director, Gerald R. Ford Library.
- 2 Review applications for position of Director, rank applicants, and make recommendations to the Archivist of the United States.

The meeting on October 23 will be open to the public during the discussion of item 1, above. Panel deliberations under item 2, above, are closed in accordance with exemption (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)). If necessary, discussions under item 2 will be continued on November 21 and will also be closed to the public in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 55:2b(c)(6). For further information contact Richard A. Jacobs. General Services Administration (NL), Washington. D.C. 20408 (202-523-3073).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on Soptember 23, 1980.

Ray Kline.

Acting Administrator of General Services. [FR Disc 80-32328 Filed 10-18-80; 8 45 cm] BILLING CODE \$820-26-M

#### Public Buildings Service

## Proposed Rehabilitation of Union Station, Montgomery, Montgomery County, Ala.; Decision

September 18, 1980.

The General Services Administration. in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the Regulations issued by the Council on Environmental Quality, Section 1505.2, dated November 29, 1978, completed the Record of Decision (ROD) for the proposed project.

The Record of Decision is available at the Regional Office for public review

upon request.

## Statement of Decision

it has been decided after consideration of available information, that the rehabilitation of Montgomery's historic Union Station is the most suitable and feasible choice in order to provide space for the proposed agency consolidation plan.

Positive social, economic, and environmental objectives, including employment within the central business area, energy conservation by the utilization of existing resources and the ultimate reduction in total miles traveled by employees and the public, preservation of a unique historic landmark, and stabilization of the downtown Riverfront area through cooperation with local revitalization and land use plans are expected to be realized by this proposal. The rehabilitation of Union Station is the most desirable alternative in serving the tax paying public under the auspices of Congressional acts and Executive directives.

For additional information contact: Mr. W. H. Capes, Director, Operational Planning Staff (4PG), CSA, Public Buildings Service, Richard B. Russell

By Order of the Commission: Kenneth R. Mason,

Secretary.

The above Order is Consented to:

For Complainant: Ketih D. Beecher, Esq., Jessup & Beecher, 2040 Avenue of the Stars, Los Angeles, California 90067, Tel. (213) 556-3178, Counsel for Prodyne

Enterprises Inc.

For Respondent: Joel Goldhammer, Seidel, Gonda, Goldhammer & Panitch, 3 Penn Center Pleza, Philodelphia, Pennsylvania 19102, Tel. (215) 568-8383, Counsel for Albert E. Price. Inc.

For U.S. International Trade Commission. Commission Investigative Attorney: Donald R. Dinan, Esq., 701 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20406, Tel. (202) 523-0488.

#### Agreement

Agreement, made and entered into this 25th day of March 1980, by and between the following parties:

(a) Prodyne Enterprises, Inc., a California corporation, having a mailing address of Post Office Box 212, Montclair, California, 91763, (hereinafter "Prodyne"]; and

(b) Albert E. Price, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation having a place of business at Interstate Industrial Park, Bellmawr, New Jersey, 06031 (hereinafter "AEP").

Whereas, Prodyne has instituted proceedings before the International Trade Commission alleging as a cause of action under 19 U.S.C. § 1337 as amended and supplemented, that AEP has engaged in unfair methods of competition or unfair acts by importing goods that infringe U.S. Patent No. 3,766,817, as more specifically set forth in the complaint, as amended, filed November 5, 1979 which forms a part of the matter exptioned Investigation No. 337-TA-76, Certain Food Slicers and Components Thereof:

Whereas AEP has ceased importing and accused cheese boards with slicers, catalog numbers 9023 and 9024 illustrated in Exhibit 1 attached hereto and concurrently with this agreement has entered into an Agreement in settlement of the above identified

Investigation; and

Whereas, the parties are desirous of compromising and settling all of the controversies which may exist between them in respect to the subject matter of

the Investigation.

Now, therefore, for and in consideration of the foregoing premises and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt in sufficiency of which is hereby mutually acknowledged, and intending to be legally bound, Prodyneand AEP agree as

1. AEP represents and warrants that it has ceased importing the cheese board

slicers, catalog numbers 9023 and 9024 and has no intention of importing them in the future,

- 2. This agreement is made and entered into without any admission or inference of an admission that U.S. Patent No. 3.766,817 is valid or infringed or that AEP has committed any wrongful act or violated any law.
- 3. Prodyne releases and discharges AEP, together with its officers, directors, agents, purchasers, customers, successors and assigns from all causes of action and claims for damage that Prodyne may have against them arising out of or relating to infringement of U.S. Patent No. 3,765,316 and for unfair methods of competition and unfair acts arising out of the importation, use and sale of the cheese board slicers, cutalog numbers 9023 and 9021, from the beginning of time until the date of this agreement, and as long as this agreement remains in enforce, agrees to take no action against AEP, its officers, directors, agents, purchasers, customers, successors and assigns by reason of any prior use or sale of said cheese board slicers, catalog numbers 9023 and 9024, which were imported into the United States prior to the date of this agreement. It is agreed and understood that AEP has said imported cheese board slicers in inventory, the quanity of which has been disclosed in confidence as part of the settlement of the Investigation, and that the aforesaid release and covenant not to see is fully applicable to the ale of the inventory of cheese board slicers by AEP and to the resale by its customers.
- 4. Prodyne and AEP agree that this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to benefit of Prodyne and AEP, their respective officers, directors, agents, successors and assigns, and all persons acting by, through, under or in active concert or participation with
- 5. This agreement shall be considered as a contract made by and under the laws of the State of New Jersey.

Executed by the parties hereto, effective the date first above written.

Prodyne Enterprises, Inc.

James L. Davis.

Attest:

Christopher J. McArdle.

Albert E. Price, Inc. Jack Chirlin. Attest:

Jack Hirlin,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-21228 Filed 7-15-80; \$:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Office of Federal Procurement Policy

req res

pre

am

35

teg

fol

Re

Rе

M

Su

bc

fa

dı.

ÇC

dε

er

A

P

tc

ŋ

ú

đ

Ĺ

ł

### **Federal Procurement Policy** Concerning Energy Conservation Supplement

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP).

ACTION: Supplement No. 1 to OFPP Policy Letter No. 76-1

SUMMARY: OFPP Policy Letter No. 76-1 was Issued August 8, 1976 to implement Section 381(a)(1) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act. This provision of the Ast requires the President to promote energy conservation and efficiency through procurement policies and decisions of the Federal Government. In turn, regulations were issued by the General Services Administration and the Department of Defense making the requirement a mendatory standard with respect to both advertised and negotiated procurements and underlying documents such as purchase requests.

The purpose of this Supplement is to reemphasize the Federal procurement poticy concerning energy conservation. Due to the continuing increase in energy costs, both as a percentage of the life cycle costs of facilities, equipment, and systems and total dollar expend tores, the Supplement requires that energy conservation as it relates to acquisition decisions be made a matter of management emphasis throughout the

executive branch.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Coprey B. Johnson, Deputy Associate Administrator for Regulatory Policies and Pratices, 202-395-8166

Karen Hastie Williams,

Administrator.

July 2, 1930.

Policy Letter No. 78-1. Supplement No. 1.

To the heads of Executive Departments and Establishments.

Subject: Federal Procure nent Policy Concerning Energy Conservation.

Public Law 94-163, the Energy Policy and Conservation Act, was enacted December 22. 1975. Section 38.1(a)(1) thereof requires the President to promote energy conservation and efficiency through procurement policies and decisions of the Federal Government. Under the authority vested in the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy by Public Law 93-400, OI FP Policy Letter No. 76-1 (copy attached) was issued August 8. 1976 extending this requirement to the Executive Departments and Establishments. In turn, the General Services Administration and the Department of Defense issued implementing regulations making the

Approved For Release 2000/09/14: CIA-RDP85-00988R 00100110098-3 requirements a mandatory standard with respect to both advertised and reactivity the process of the both advertised and the both advertised and the both advertised and reactivity the respect to both advertised and negotiated procurements and underlying procurement and property management documents, such as purchase requests. The applicable regulatory coverage is set forth in the following regulations: Federal Procurement Regulations 1-1.339-1, Defense Acquisition Regulation 1-339, Federal Property Management Regulation 41 CFR Chapter 101, Subchapter E. Part 101-25.

The continuing increase in energy costs. both as a percentage of the life cycle costs of facilities, equipment, and systems and total dollar expenditures, dictates that energy conservation, as it relates to acquisition decisions, be made a matter for management emphasis throughout the Executive Branch. As initial steps. (1) I specifically want this Policy Letter and the regulations cited above to be brought to the attention of those personnel involved in acquisition decisions, and (2) I want to reemphasize the need to conform to both the spirit and intent of these directives.

There are numerous acquisition-related energy conservation opportunities that the Government can pursue in carrying out its day-to-day activities. For example: (1) where energy costs constitute a major portion of the life cycle cost of a product, the energyefficiency of competing products should be considered in deciding which product to procure; (2) existing heating and air conditioning systems in Government buildings can be made more energy-efficient through the addition of energy-saving components or the replacement of inefficient systems; (3) rail and water transportation systems generally consume less energy in terms of the payload hanled and may represent a practicable alternative to other, less energy-efficient, forms of transportation; and (4) products made with recycle? materials, which generally require less energy to produce, frequently are adequate for the Government's needs. In addition to making good economic sense, Federal energy-saving strategies can also serve as examples for State and local governments, busilesses and the general public.

Innovations aimed at energy conservation are resulting in many new products and the redesign of existing products to make them more energy-off-cient. In this vein the paint development of better product and system standards by Government and the product sector-standards specifically design, it to aid in the conservation of energy—should result from implementation of OMB Circular A-119, Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards.

In managing our personal affairs, we have taken steps to cope with escalating energy costs and shortages. We must be equally vigilant where the public interest and tax dollars are involved. We do not have to settle for products which are less energy-efficient, due to either the lack of familiarity with new products which are more energy-efficient, or to outmoded Federal specifications. The policy on Acquisition and Distribution Commercial Products (ADCoP) (See 45 FR 9267—Federal Property Management Regulations Temporary Regulation E-69-41

considerations, provides a positive means for the Government to take advantage of energy-

saving innovations.

The obligation to think and practice energy conservation in shaping acquisition decisions does not rest solely with the contracting function. Rather, this obligation begins with those responsible for levying acquisition requests upon contracting officers. The people who generate such requests are obligated to pursue vigorously energy conservation opportunities and be receptive to the use of acquisition strategies tailored to ensure that energy conservation and efficiency are given due consideration in the procurement process.

Many energy conservation measures have been initiated by the Congress and the President confirming their determination to eliminate the Nation's dependence on foreign energy sources. With respect to Federal procurement policy, I am equally determined to take whatever measures are necessary to ensure that our acquisition programs promote energy conservation. In this regard, if there are conflicting policies, regulations, or procedures which effectively preclude or hamper the acquisition of energy-efficient products or services, they should be made known to me so they can be changed. Also, if we need to strengthen existing policies regulations or procedures to ensure procurement of energy-efficient products and services, this also will be done.

I wish to be advised within 90 days of the steps taken or planned by your agency to emphasize and ensure compliance with the spirit and intent of this Policy Letter and implementing regulations I will disseminate for your consideration those responses which demonstrate the kinds of imaginative and creative thinking required to maximize the potential contribution Federal acquisition decisions can make to the Nation's energy

conservation efforts.

This Policy Letter Supplement has been concurred in by the Director of OMB. Karen Hastie Williams,

Administrator.

[6:3 Does 50-2 c. 70 Filed 7-45-80; 8.45 am] WELING COSE 3110-01-M

# HOSTAL HATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC76-5]

Basic Mail Classification Reform Schedule, 1976

July 9, 1930.

Take notice that pursuint to the Presiding Officer's Notice Scheduling Hearing, dated July 8, 1980, the next conference in Docket No. MC76-5 will be held on August 27, 1980, at 9:30 a.m., Hearing Room, Postal Rate Commission, 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20268.

Copies of the Presiding Officer's Notice are available to all interested parties in the Commission's Docket Room at the above-listed address, or by calling he Docket Room at Area Code 202-254-3800.

David F. Harris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80-21244 Filed 7-15-80 8 45 am]

BILLING CODE 7715-01-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

July 10, 1980.

The above named national securities exchange has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1931 and Rule 1:(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 121-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stock

Safeguard Business Systems, Inc., Common Stock, \$.10 Par Value (File No. 7-5677)

This security is listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchanges.

Interested persons are invited to submit on or before August 1, 1980 written data, views and arguments concerning the above-referenced application. Persons dusiring to make written comments should file there copies thereof with the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. Following this opportunity for hearing, the Commission will approve the application if it finds, based upon all the information available to it, that the extension of unlisted trading privileges pursuant to such application is consistent with the maintenance of fair and orderly markets and the protection of investors.

For the Commission, by the Datisian of Market Regulation, pursuent to delegated nuthority.

George A. Elizsiaimons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 80 21237 Filed 7-15-80; 80-5 atn]

BILLING COST 8010-01-M

(Release No. 16972; File No. SR-MSE-80-

Extension of Statutory Time Period for Commission Consideration of Proposed Rule Change of Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc.

The Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc. ("MSE") submitted on May 19, 1980, a